



SEDIBENG DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

VIREMENT

POLICY, PROCESSES & PROCEDURES



RECOMMENDED

THAT the **Virement Policy** and the contents thereof be tabled for approval at the Mayoral Committee.

Version Version 1

Date March 2014

Document Name **Virement Policy and Procedures**

Reviewed By

_____ **Date:** _____

Supported By

INTERNAL AUDITOR

_____ **Date:** _____

Signature

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

_____ **Date:** _____

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

**Adopted by the
Mayoral
Committee**

_____ **Date:** _____

CHAIRPERSON

**Approved by the
Council**

_____ **Date:** _____

RESOLUTION

Effective date

**Next revision
date**



INDEX

Page Number

1. Policy

1.1	Overview	5
1.2	Purpose	6
1.3	Objective	6
1.4	Business Process Framework	7
	1.4.1 Legal Framework	7
	1.4.2 Accounting Framework	7
1.5	Recommendations	8

2. Processes

2.1	Rationale	9
2.2	General definitions and Guidelines	9
	2.2.1 Definitions	9
	2.2.2 Guidelines	11

3. Procedures

3.1	Framework for Reporting	13
3.2	Framework for Accounting	13
3.3	Authorisation	14



3.4	Constraints	15
3.5	Virement Applications	16
3.5.1	Operating Budget	16
3.5.1.1	Primary Cost Elements	16
3.5.1.2	Secondary Cost Element	17
3.5.1.3	Ward Allocation Projects	17
3.5.2	Capital Budget	18
3.6	Reconciling and Monitoring	19
3.7	Amendment and Review of the Policy	19



1. POLICY

1.1 Overview

Sedibeng District Municipality has developed its virement policy to incorporate all departments at which municipality business is conducted. This is in recognising its need for good governance and flexible decision making even in the most pressurised and demanding environment.

The virement policy is a transparent and codified internal control system aimed at promoting its core District Objectives to ensure that the limited resources at the disposal of Sedibeng District Municipality will be focussed towards serving the various stakeholders in the pursuit of their interactions with Sedibeng District Municipality both efficiently and adjacent to approved budgets and broader financial policies of Sedibeng District Municipality, whilst minimising the temptation of misuse of municipality funds.

"Virement" is defined in this context as the legitimate re-application of approved funds from a cost centre line item to another cost centre during a single budgetary term. It seeks to introduce a transparent and flexible means for accommodating the dynamic environment within which the public sector operates for payables.

The policy is applicable to all Sedibeng District Municipality staff that is entrusted with the daily execution of municipal business. It seeks to institute the necessary control measures to facilitate the daily activity of the municipality by providing:

- i. A guideline for all officials and councillors alike;
- ii. An internal dynamic document detailing the processes required for the efficient discharge of expenditure necessary to satisfy creditors;



- iii. A mechanism to balance underutilisation of budgeted funds on one side with excess demand on the other side at cost centres.

1.2 Purpose

The Virement policy introduces the necessary control mechanisms to strengthen the delegation of authorities from the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as per section 81 (1) (d) of the **MFMA**, which expressly makes reference to the need to inform management and senior officials of their powers and duties – this expressly with regards to allocated budgets, and their need to plan and conduct the operations they are charged with in accordance with approved annual performance plans.

1.3 Objective

The policy seeks to introduce a tool or mechanism aimed at defining the discretionary scope within the public finance arena by:

- i. Infusing business processes into internal control which complies with all legislation and statutory requirements;
- ii. Safeguarding against rash and irresponsible expenditure employment and radical fund shifts;
- iii. Equipping senior management and senior officials with a means to execute their daily management of annual performance plans in a dynamic environment;
- iv. Strengthen the financial and budgetary policies by adherence to the delegations of authorities system;
- v. Driving a culture of accountability over Sedibeng District Municipality by skilling staff and councillors alike.
- vi. Completeness of recording of receipts and payments, relative to the activity undertaken;



- vii. Ensure that staff should be appropriately trained and be aware of relevant policies and procedures.
- viii. Communicate to all staff that any monetary loss as a result of non-compliance with this policy is deemed to be gross negligence and could be cause for disciplinary action.

1.4 Business Process Framework

1.4.1 Legislative Framework

The *Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) (No. 56 of 2003)*, the *King II Code on Corporate Governance in South Africa (2002)*, and *Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations (MBRR)* and other applicable legislation informs and seeks to regulate virement activity and prescribe the process for reporting thereof in terms of the Code of conduct for Councillors and Municipal Staff Members to approve such occurrences.

1.4.2 Accounting Framework

The accounting responsibility lies with the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), or delegated official, who has to ensure that reasonable controls exist to support the implementation of policies. In delegating this function to subordinates, it does not alleviate the responsibility of the Chief Financial Officer. The HOD has to ensure all policies and procedures are communicated to and implemented by the responsible individual(s).



1.5

Recommendations

- i. This policy, processes and procedures document be recognised as providing the framework of operation and internal control mechanisms for all staff members of the municipality;
- ii. This policy document is adopted by the Executive Management and the broader Council of Sedibeng District Municipality as the framework for Virement.



2. PROCESSES

2.1 Rationale

Generally there are a large number of votes / business units in a typical municipality and in the pursuit of its core function of service delivery, payment for goods and services are a necessary consequence. Sedibeng District Municipality thus has to regulate and reconcile payments relative to annual budgets raised. In so doing it also has to recognise the dynamic environment within which it operates and the need to perform at best by introducing a means of empowering duly mandated officials with discretionary abilities within the confines of their operational activities.

2.2 General Definitions and Guidelines

2.2.1 Definitions

We define, the following for general usage in this policy document:

Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

“A person designated as per s80(2)(a) of the MFMA”;

Approved Budget as per MFMA

“An annual budget either approved by the municipal council or the provincial or national executive post an intervention in terms of section 139 of the Constitution.”

Cost Centre –

“The defined business unit to which expenditure is attached based on an annual performance plan and managed by a delegated official;”



Cost Element

“Makes reference to the line items of expenditure undertaken in the normal pursuit of municipal business and can be deconstructed into:

- a. **Primary cost elements** which refer line items of expenditure generated through interaction with external stakeholders;
- b. **Secondary cost elements** which refer to line items of expenditure raised through internal activity or re-evaluation of annual performance.”

Financial Year

“A 12 month period between 1 July and 30 June.”

Vote as per MFMA

“Makes provision for the segmentation of the approved budget in a single financial year into the appropriation of money into different functional business units of the municipality, and clearly defines the total allocated spend for such functional business unit.”

Virement

“ Would thus refer to the mechanism of shifting an approved budget line item (cost element) or capital project at a designated vote (cost centre) during a financial year as necessitated by the dynamic environment within which the cost centre operates.”

Expenditure as per MFMA section 15

“May only be incurred by an approved budget and to the extent of the approved vote within the approved budget.”



Unauthorised Expenditure as per ***MFMA Circulars 58 and 70***

“Refers to expenditure undertaken contrary to section 15 expenses defined above, and includes:

- a. Overspending on the entire approved municipal budget;
- b. Overspending on a particular vote;
- c. Raising expenditure at a vote which is contrary to the functional area of that particular vote;
- d. Diverting money appropriated for a specific purpose other than for what it has been allocated for in the annual budget or to satisfy the conditions of such initial allocations;
- e. Providing a Grant which does not comply with the definition of Grants in the ***MFMA.***”

Overspending as per ***MFMA***

“Makes reference to:

- a. In the case of the municipality, exceeding the total amount appropriated for the annual budget in any given financial year through operational or capital expenditure;
- b. In the case of a vote, expenditure exceeding the amount appropriated for that particular vote in any one given financial year;
- c. Section 26 also makes reference to expenditure exceeding allowable and mandated limits.”

2.2.2 Guidelines

Consequently it is incumbent that:

- i. The business unit / vote suggesting the virement should immediately approach the Chief Financial Officer (CFO)



detailing the transaction and the cost implications of such transaction both should it be undertaken as well as not undertaken – such request should be in a prescribed format on a standardised template and addressed to the CFO for the action of the Director: Financial Management & Budgets;

- ii. The business unit / vote needs to confirm to the CFO that such anticipated expenditure does not fall within the prescripts of section 72(2) of the MFMA Budget and Reporting Regulations which prohibits certain transactions being undertaken by the Executive Mayor;
- iii. The CFO will consider whether raising such cost can be contained by apportioning it via a virement process – which would allow for the shifting of funds within the relevant business unit vote budget;
- iv. The CFO should then confirm such prescript.



3. PROCEDURES

3.1 Framework for Reporting

The Reporting framework rests upon the need to realign a budgetary vote by shifting a cost element at a vote to another cost element during a financial year.

- i. The virement represents a flexible mechanism to affect budgetary amendments within a municipal financial year, and is the major mechanism to align and take corrective (financial / budgetary) action within a Directorate (Vote) during a financial year;
- ii. In order for a Directorate (Vote) to transfer funds from one cost element or capital project to another cost element or capital project, a saving has to be identified within the monetary limitations of the approved “giving” cost element or capital project allocations on the respective budgets. Sufficient, (non-committed) budgetary provision should be available within the “giving” vote’s cost element or capital project concerned to give effect to the budgetary transfer (virement). In addition, the transferring function must clearly indicate to which cost element or capital project the budget provision will be transferred to and provide a clear motivation for the transfer;
- iii. The Directorate (Vote) within which the virement is to be raised is responsible for generating the initial report detailing such occurrence. The virement report must be undersigned by the HOD/ Director immediately responsible for that specific function and approved by the relevant Executive Director responsible for that Vote, and in accordance with the system of delegations thresholds. All virements should be approved in line with the Council’s System of delegations. The report must be in the



prescribed format (template appended as Appendix A) which is obtainable from the Budget Office;

- iv. The Director: Financial Management & Budgets is to scrutinise such report and advise as to the financial implications (where necessary) thereto for submission to the CFO prior to processing the virement request. Any budgetary amendment of which the net impact will be a change to the total approved annual budget allocation and any other amendments not covered in this policy are to be considered for budgetary adoption via an Adjustments Budget (per MFMA Section 28);
- v. Virements resulting in adjustments to the approved SDBIP by the user Directorate need to be submitted with an Adjustments Budget to the Council with altered outputs and measurements for approval. (MFMA Circular 13 page 3 paragraph 3);
- vi. No virement may commit the Municipality to increase recurrent expenditure, which commits the Council's resources in the following financial year, without the prior approval of the Council. This refers to expenditures such as entering into multi-year lease or rental agreements for the leasing of vehicles, photo copiers or fax machines, etc;
- vii. No virement may be made to cover/ allow for unauthorised, irregular or fruitless and wasteful expenditure (MFMA Section 32);
- viii. No virements are permitted within the first three months or the final month of the financial year without the review and recommendation of the CFO and approval from Accounting Officer;
- ix. The virement that relates to an unfunded vacant position is subject to the approval of the Municipal Manager. The budget for



such position may only be transferred from Employee related costs;

- x. Virement amounts may not be rolled over to subsequent years, or create expectations on following budgets (MFMA Section 30);
- xi. In terms of Section 17 of the MFMA a Municipality's budget is divided into an operating and capital budget and consequently no virements are permitted between Operating and Capital Budgets other than through an Adjustments Budget;
- xii. Virements are not permissible across, or between, votes without approval of both vote's Executive Directors and the recommendation of the CFO. All virements of funds between votes (Clusters) must be finally approved by the Municipal Manager prior to processing and reported to the Executive Mayor on a monthly basis.
- xiii. The CFO needs to advise the Municipal Manager who has to forward the Budget department prepared submission to the Executive Mayor;
- xiv. The Executive Mayor after careful perusal will prepare the necessary recommendation or disclaimer for submission to General council;
- xv. The General Council will consider such mayoral submission for either final approval or recommendation for further action.

3.2 Framework for Accounting

The framework for accounting is intertwined with the reporting aspect and provides for a seamless procedural experience:



- i. The vote raising the initiation report is to detail the proposed saving the virement will attain should a transfer of budgeted funds be instituted whether it be of a capital or operating nature;
- ii. The vote also has to confirm that there is sufficient surplus in either the vote's cost element or project to be able to accommodate the virement.
- iii. The recipient of the virement also needs to indicate the receiving capital project or cost element, and motivate such virement;
- iv. Upon receipt of the necessary approval from the General Council, the necessary system adjustments need to be made for accounting purposes immediately;
- v. The transaction thereafter needs to be completed so as to minimise any unnecessary risks associated with not meeting the commitment;
- vi. An adjustment budget would then be submitted at the next General Council Meeting, mindful of the Virement.

3.3 Authorisation

MFMA Section 28 states that any budget amendments which lead to a change in terms of total approved amount to allocations or any amendments not covered by this virement policy need to be included in an adjustment budget for adoption by the municipal council.

MFMA Section 71(1)(g)(iii) states inter alia

“(1) The accounting officer of a municipality must by no later than 10 working days after the end of each month submit to the mayor of the municipality and the relevant provincial treasury a statement in the prescribed format on the state of the municipality's budget reflecting the following particulars for that month and for the financial year up to the end of that month:



... (ii) when necessary, an explanation of-

... (iii) any remedial or corrective steps taken or to be taken to ensure that projected revenue and expenditure remain within the municipality's approved budget..."

3.4 Constraints

- i. Section 17 of the **MFMA** holds that a municipality's budget needs to be split into an Operating budget and a Capital budget – this precludes virement's between the two;
- ii. Virements are also not possible between votes;
- iii. Section 28 of the **MFMA** also prohibits virements between trading and tariff borne functions unless these are formally constituted via an adjustment budget.
- iv. No virement is permissible from the following general expenditure categories unless the amendments are within the cost element:
 - v. Bulk Purchases
 - vi. Bad Debts
 - vii. Interest Charges and depreciation
 - viii. Indigent Relief
 - ix. Appropriation Accounts
 - x. No virement is permissible on revenue elements - all revenue changes are to be recorded via an adjustment budget.
 - xi. Virements may not increase the total approved budget of a cost element.
 - xii. Virements are not permissible for Support Service Charges
 - xiii. Virements are not permissible for Insurance premiums or Capital charges.
 - xiv. Virements cannot "give birth" to new capital projects.



- xv. Virements cannot increase the individual capital projects overall project cost.
- xvi. The capital project relinquishing the funds for the recipient capital project may not in any way be hindered from completion by such action.

3.5 Virement Applications

Virements need to be captured and motivated on uniform documentation for scrutiny and cannot arise from special purpose budget allocations designated for a specific function as adopted from a specific municipal council resolution.

3.5.1 Operating Budget

- i. No virement can arise between primary and secondary cost elements;
- ii. No virement are permissible between Grants and Subsidies paid unless if specifically resolved by the municipal council and in accordance with the applicable policy;
- iii. Virements are permissible, subject to Executive sign off , between salaries, wages and allowances cost elements;
- iv. Virements are allowable within the category – remuneration of councillors, but not from this category or to this category;

3.5.1.1 Primary Cost Elements

- i. Virements are allowable within the cost elements which constitute general expenditure and the cost element repairs and maintenance;
- ii. Virements are allowed within the following cost categories but not as sources of virements:



- iii. Training
- iv. Bargaining Council provisions and skills development levies
- v. Pensioner and continued membership
- vi. Insurance
- vii. Repairs and Maintenance
- viii. Virements are not permissible from the following cost elements:
 - ix. Capital Expenditure
 - x. Scrapping of assets / Stock
 - xi. Vat
 - xii. Insurance Fund
- xiii. Primary virements are to be processed via internal orders;
- xiv. Virements are permissible to and from contracted services;

3.5.1.2 Secondary Cost Elements

- i. Virements are permissible within the same cost elements provided there is agreement between the recipient of the virement and the provider;
- ii. Internal utilities and Bulk internal utilities virements are permissible provided that simultaneous amendments are recorded;
- iii. Virements are permitted within the same cost element for the following:
 - iv. Activity Based Recoveries
 - v. Internal Utilities
 - vi. Bulk Internal Utilities

3.5.1.3 Projects

- i. All conditions applicable to operating budgets apply.



- ii. Virements can only occur between existing municipal council approved projects within the same business unit.
- iii. The virement needs to be motivated by the project manager and official responsible for the finance of the project and record the proposed saving on the one side and the need for additional revenue on the other side.

3.5.2 Capital Budget

- i. The motivation must clearly state the intended saving on the one side as opposed to the need for additional capital on the other side;
- ii. The virement request needs to be endorsed and motivated by both the “giver” and recipient;
- iii. Virements can only be adopted for projects included in the annual or adjustment budgets;
- iv. Virements must be from projects of the same funding source;
- v. Virements are permissible only within the same cost elements of different projects;
- vi. Approval needs to be obtained from the Executive directly charged with the project;
- vii. For projects virements can only occur between existing municipal council approved projects within the same business unit, and motivated by the project manager and official responsible for the finance of the project and record the proposed saving on the one side and the need for additional revenue on the other side.



3.6 Reconciling and Monitoring

- i. The virement has to be raised in the prescribed format on the uniform stationary;
- ii. The executive responsible for the business unit needs to approve such virement before the initial report is submitted;
- iii. The virement needs to be reported to the General Council within 30 days of being raised;
- iv. An adjustment budget must be submitted at the General Council Meeting within 60 days of the raising of such virement;
- v. Virements approved and processed needs to be reported to the Executive Mayor on a quarterly basis.

3.7 Amendment and Review of the policy

The Virement Policy shall be reviewed annually and/or at the discretion of the CFO due to changing circumstances as a result of the legislation or otherwise.